

## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 NEW DE 05568 211520Z

60

ACTION NEA-10

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-04 H-02 INR-07 L-03

NSAE-00 NSC-05 PA-01 PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15 USIA-06 /057 W

----- 110384

R 211252Z NOV 75

FM AMEMBASSY NEW DELHI

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 2381

C O N F I D E N T I A L NEW DELHI 05568

FOLLOWING MSG MADRAS 1714 DTD 19 NOV 75 RCVD NEW DELHI BEING  
REPEATED TO YOU. QUOTE

C O N F I D E N T I A L MADRAS 1714

E.O. 116T2: GDS

TAGS: PINT, IN

SUBJECT: PRESS CRITICISM OF USG

REF: NEW DELHI 14864

1. I SAW CHIEF SECRETARY SABANAYAGAN LAST EVENING ABOUT THE  
NAVA SAKTHI ARTICLES AND CENSORSHIP REGULATIONS. AFTER  
CHECKING THE GUIDELINES AND ASSURING HIMSELF THAT THERE  
WAS AN INJUNCTION AGAINST PUBLICATION OF MATERIAL LIKELY  
TO AFFECT INDIA'S RELATIONS WITH FRIENDLY COUNTRIES,  
SABANAYAGAN SAID HE WOULD INFORM THE CHIEF CENSOR (WHO IS A  
GOI RATHER THAN GOTN EMPLOYEE) OF OUR REPRESENTATION AND OF  
THE DETAILS OF THE NAVA SAKTHI ARTICLES. SABANAYAGAN  
AGREED THAT THE ARTICLES APPEARED TO BE OFFENSIVE UNDER  
THE CRITERIA OF THE GUIDELINES. HE VENTURED THE VIEW THAT  
THE CHIEF CENSOR WOULD PROBABLY BE GUIDED BY "WHATEVER  
THE PRACTICE IS WITH REGARD TO ENGLISH-LANGUAGE PAPERS  
LIKE BLITZ."

2. WE WILL WATCH NAVA SAKTHI CAREFULLY TO SEE WHAT  
HAPPENS.

EAVES

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 NEW DE 05568 211520Z

UNQUOTE

SAXBE

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** PRESS COMMENTS, CENSORSHIP, ANTIAMERICAN FEELING  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 21 NOV 1975  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** KelleyW0  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1975NEWDE05568  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** GS  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** D750407-0058  
**From:** NEW DELHI  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1975/newtext/t19751121/aaaaarud.tel  
**Line Count:** 63  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Office:** ACTION NEA  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 2  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** 75 NEW DELHI 14864  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** KelleyW0  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 06 AUG 2003  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <06 AUG 2003 by CunninFX>; APPROVED <26 NOV 2003 by KelleyW0>  
**Review Markings:**

Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
06 JUL 2006

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** PRESS CRITICISM OF USG  
**TAGS:** PINT, SOPN, IN, US  
**To:** STATE  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006